

## REMARKS

Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's comments set forth in the Office Action of November 16, 2006. The claims have been amended to overcome the Examiner's objections and rejections and it is believed that the application is now in condition to be passed for allowance.

### **Double Patenting**

Considering the extent that a obviousness-type double patenting rejection is applicable depending upon the scope of any allowed claims, Applicants will overcome the rejection with a terminal disclaimer.

### **Claim Rejections Obviousness**

The Examiner will appreciate that the independent claims have been amended to include limitations specifically identified by the Examiner in the "Response to Arguments" section of the Office Action. The Examiner's indication that the distinguishing arguments previously presented were not clearly recited in the claims has been addressed by the newly proposed amendments. The Examiner's newly cited reference to Tonkin fails to teach or suggest that multiple distinct renderings of a set of image data are to be accomplished with reference to the same set of image data as provided in the master job control ticket of the present embodiments.


## CONCLUSION

It is believed that this application is now in condition for allowance and early notice thereof is requested.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call Patrick R. Roche, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY SHARPE LLP

  
Patrick R. Roche, Reg. No. 29,580  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579  
(216) 861-5582

2/16/07  
Date